PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the: INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY **PCT** Alban Tay Mahtani & De Silva WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL 39 Robinson Road PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY #07-01 Robinson Point (PCT Rule 66) --068911 Singapore Date of mailing - 1 JUL 2005 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference within TWO MONTHS REPLY DUE NANY/20402181/KC from the above date of mailing International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/SG2004/000371 17 November 2004 18 November 2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Int. Cl. 7 B81B 7/00, 1/00; B01D 61/42 Applicant NANYANG TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY et al The written opinion established by the International Searching Authority: X is is not considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority. (second, etc.) opinion contains indications relating to the following items: This second Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations Box No. V and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Cortain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. See the Reply Due date indicated above. However, the Australian Patent Office will not establish the Report before the earlier of (i) a response being filed, or (ii) one month before the Final Date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established. The Report will take into account any response (including amendments) filed before the Report is established. If no response is filed by 1 month before the Final Date, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. Applicants wishing to have the benefit of a further opinion (if needed) before the report is established should ensure that a response is filed at least 3 months before the Final Date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established. By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. How? For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. The FINAL DATE by which the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 18 March 2006 Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU Authorized Officer **AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE** PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA **JULIA HU** E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Telephone No. (02) 6283 2754 Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SG2004/000371

Bo	x No. I	Basis of	of the opinion	·					
1.	1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.								
		This opinion is based on a translation from the original language into the following language,							
		which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:							
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1 (b))							
	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)								
	international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)								
2.	sheets	Ith regard to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (replacement neets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this pinion as "originally filed."):							
	t	he international	al application as originally filed/furnished						
	X t	he description:	: pages 1-12, as originally filed/furnished						
			pages , received by this Authority on with the letter of						
			pages, received by this Authority on with the letter of						
	X t	he claims:	pages , as originally filed/furnished						
			pages 1-4* (refer to Box VII), as amended (together with any statement) under Artic	le 19,					
	-		pages, received by this Authority on with the letter of						
			pages , received by this Authority on with the letter of	·					
	X tl	he drawings:	pages 1/5-5/5, as originally filed/furnished						
			pages, received by this Authority on with the letter of						
			pages, received by this Authority on with the letter of						
a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.									
3.	T	he amendments	ts have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the descr	cription, pages	,					
J		the claim	ims, Nos.						
		the draw	wings, sheets/figs						
		the seque	uence listing (specify):	•					
		any table	sle(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	+					
4.			s been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	onsidered to					
		the descr	cription, pages						
,		the claim	ms, Nos.						
	•	the drawi	wings, sheets/figs						
		the seque	uence listing (specify):						
		any table	le(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):						
									
	•								
•									

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SG2004/000371

Box No. V	Reasoned statement un citations and explanati	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement						
	Novelty (N)	Claims 1-33	YES			
		Claims	NO			
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-33	YES			
		Claims	NO			
j	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-33	YES			
*	•	Claims	NO			
<i>:</i>			·			

2. Citations and explanations:

NOVELTY (N) AND INVENTIVE STEP (IS) claims 1-33.

The invention of the claims is a method of actuating and an actuator operated by electroosmotic force. No individual citation or obvious combination of citations disclose or fairly suggest all of the features of such an actuator.

The closest art of US 6537437 discloses a similar device (Fig. 6). However, it has a closed chamber 72 with a movable/deformable membrane 74 connecting an actuator arm 76 and a pump 70, as opposed to an open-ended tube with an actuator/piston in direct fluid communication with the liquid in the tube (wherein an electrical field is applied along a lengthwise axis across the tube) as defined in the present claims. The latter device offers a simpler and more compact structure and does not appear to be obvious from the teaching of the prior art. Therefore, the invention as defined in the present claims is considered to be novel and inventive.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

	PCT/SG2004/000371
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	
The following-defects in-the-form or contents of the international application have been noted:	
The amended claims pages numbered pages 1-4, are not continuous from the description	on pages ending at page 12.
	•
	•
	•
	•